**Incident Handler Journal**

| **Date:**  October 28, 2024 | **Entry:**  1 | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Description | A small U.S. health care clinic experienced a security incident that has severely disrupted their business operations. This appears to be a ransomware attack from an experienced group of unethical hackers as several employees have reported their files to be encrypted and new ransom notes appearing on their devices. | | |
| Tool(s) used | The following tools were used:   * Email filters * Firewall filters and network port filtering * File recovery and backup tools * SEIM tools * Network protocol analyzers | | |
| The 5 W's | Capturing the 5 W's of the incident.   * **Who** caused the incident?   + An organized group of unethical hackers who are known to target healthcare and transportation companies. * **What** happened?   + The attackers sent several targeted phishing emails which contained a malicious file attachment that installed malware on the employee’s device when downloaded. This led to employees reporting that they were unable to use their computers to access files like medical records. Business operations were then shut down because employees were not able to access files or software needed to complete their jobs. There were reports of ransom notes being displayed on employee’s computers demanding payment in exchange for decryption keys. * **When** did the incident occur?   + The incident occurred on Tuesday morning, at approximately 9:00 a.m. * **Where** did the incident happen?   + This happened at a small U.S. health care clinic specializing in delivering primary-care services. * **Why** did the incident happen?   + The attackers were able to bypass the current security controls in place to filter emails and validate attachments being sent through email. | | |
| Additional Notes | The phishing attacks appeared to be targeted, meaning that they were personalized for the intended receiver which were a few employees. I would recommend that the organization review and update their policies on employee media usage, in this case email, in relation to business operations. | | |

| **Date:** October 30, 2024 | **Entry:**  2 | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Description | Suspicious file downloaded onto an employee’s computer. | | |
| Tool(s) used | **• SHA256 hash**  **• VirusTotal** | | |
| The 5 W's | Capture the 5 W's of an incident.   * **Who** caused the incident?   + An unknown email sender * **What** happened?   + An employee opened an email with an attached password-protected spreadsheet file. When the employee opened the file, a malicious payload was executed on their device. * **When** did the incident occur?   + The incident occurred at 1:11pm * **Where** did the incident happen?   + Incident happened on the employee’s device * **Why** did the incident happen?   + The organization’s email filter did not detect/block the malicious file, which could have been done through the file’s SHA256 hash. | | |
| Additional notes | The behavior reported by the employee also aligns with the behavior reported on VirusTotal. This behavior consists of creating new processes, editing files, setting registry keys, and many other malicious actions. | | |

| **Date:**  November 1, 2024 | **Entry:**  3 | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Description | Incident response playbook for email phishing and malware attack. | | |
| Tool(s) used | Phishing Playbook | | |
| The 5 W's | Capture the 5 W's of an incident.   * **Who** caused the incident?   + Def Communications <76tguyhh6tgftrt7tg.su> <114.114.114.114> * **What** happened?   + An employee was sent a phishing email that held a password-protected malicious file. * **When** did the incident occur?   + The incident occurred on July 20, 2022 at 09:20:14 AM * **Where** did the incident happen?   + Inergy * **Why** did the incident happen?   + The organization’s email filter did not detect/block the malicious file, which could have been done through the file’s SHA256 hash. | | |
| Additional notes | None at the moment | | |

| **Date:**  November 3, 2024 | **Entry:**  4 | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Description | Review the final report for data breach | | |
| Tool(s) used |  | | |
| The 5 W's | Capture the 5 W's of an incident.   * **Who** caused the incident?   + Unknown at this time * **What** happened?   + An employee received a ransom email stating the attacker had stolen consumer data and was requesting $25,000 in cryptocurrency payment. The employee was then sent another email sending proof of stolen information and with an increased payment request of $50,000. * **When** did the incident occur?   + The incident occurred on December 28, 2022 at 7:20 p.m. PT * **Where** did the incident happen?   + The organization’s ecommerce site, on the purchase confirmation page. * **Why** did the incident happen?   + The attacker exploited a vulnerability in the organization’s website using a forced browsing attack which allowed them to steal customer purchase confirmation pages and customer data. | | |
| Additional notes | Certain pages within the organization’s website did not have adequate access controls and the security team has now implemented allow listings to ensure only authorized employees can visit those pages. | | |

| **Date:**  November 4, 2024 | **Entry:**  5 | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Description | Searching for security issues with mail server | | |
| Tool(s) used | Splunk | | |
| The 5 W's | Capture the 5 W's of an incident.   * Who – root account * What – There were many failed SSH login attempts on the mail server using the root account * When - Thu Mar 06 2023 01:39:51 * Where – Multiple different IPs: 194.8.74.23 port 3768, 89.106.20.218 port 1392, 193.33.170.23 port 1151 * Why – It appears to be an attacker trying multiple different IP addresses to login to the account. | | |
| Additional notes | The login attempts all happen at almost the exact same time, and there are multiple attempts each date that the attacker has tried. This could suggest the attacker is using some sort of brute-force method to attempt to guess the account’s password. | | |

| **Date:**  November 5, 2024 | **Entry:**  6 | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Description | Phishing email from suspicious/spoofed email | | |
| Tool(s) used | Chronicle | | |
| The 5 W's | Capture the 5 W's of an incident.   * Who - warren-morris-pc, ashton-davidson-pc, emil-palmer-pc * What – An employee reported a suspicious email that was believed to be a phishing attempt with the domain signin.office365x24.com in the body of the email. * When - 2023-01-31 14:51:45 * Where - 40.100.174.34 * Why – The organization’s email filter did not detect this domain as suspicious, likely because there is not overwhelming evidence that it is malicious. There are only a few VirusTotal reports on this and its connected domains, but it has been categorized as a dump site for stolen credentials. | | |
| Additional notes | The reported domain signin.office365x24.com has a resolved IP of 40.100.174.34 and a top private domain of office365x24.com.  Chronicle categorizes these domains/IPs as “Drop site for logs or stolen credentials”. The reported domain signin.office365x24.com has 2 POST requests listed to http://signin.office365x24.com/login.php but the resolved IP of 40.100.174.34 has an additional POST request to http://signin.accounts-gooqle.com/login.php which may suggest that credentials were stolen and used to login to another account. | | |

| Reflections/Notes:   1. **Were there any specific activities that were challenging for you? Why or why not?**   I found the task involving tcpdump particularly difficult. Being new to the command line made understanding and using the syntax of tcpdump a steep learning curve. Initially, I felt quite frustrated when the output didn’t match my expectations. However, after revisiting the activity and troubleshooting my approach, I was able to identify and correct my mistakes. This experience taught me the importance of reading instructions thoroughly and taking my time to go through each step methodically.   1. **Has your understanding of incident detection and response changed after taking this course?**   Yes, my understanding of incident detection and response has significantly deepened through this course. Initially, I had a basic grasp of the concepts, but I didn’t fully appreciate the intricate processes involved. Throughout the course, I gained insight into the incident lifecycle, the vital roles that structured plans, processes, and personnel play, as well as the tools commonly used in the field. Overall, I now feel more knowledgeable and better prepared to handle aspects of incident detection and response.   1. **Was there a specific tool or concept that you enjoyed the most? Why?**   I particularly enjoyed exploring network traffic analysis and applying my learning through network protocol analyzer tools. This was my first experience delving into network traffic analysis, making it both challenging and exhilarating. It was fascinating to use tools that allow for the capture and real-time analysis of network traffic. This sparked a genuine interest in the topic, and I am eager to become more skilled with network protocol analyzer tools in the future. |
| --- |